# **Annual Goals for Chemistry and Industrial** Hygiene

# 2011-2012

Goal 1: Faculty Evaluation Criteria

1

**Description:** To review the Department's "Criteria for the Evaluation of Faculty"

adopted in August of 2009.

**Budget:** 0.00

**University Goals** 

**Supported:** 

**Strategic Goals Supported:** 

**Responsibility:** Department Chair

**Participation:** Departmental Faculty

**Results:** All faculty in the department met last fall to discuss and revise the

department's policy on evaluation of faculty. Each section of the

policy was reviewed and discussed.

As a result of the policy review, some minor but important changes **Actions/Improvements:** 

> were made. The departmental policy requires that each faculty member present the department chair with an annual summary portfolio. In the past, the summary portfolio was due on the first day of classes each fall semester. At that time, a tenure/promotion review committee would meet and score each faculty member according the procedure outlined in the evaluation criteria. The deadline was not only a bit inconvenient for faculty as they prepared to begin the new academic year, but it left little time for the committee to meet, discuss, and score each member before the department chair was to provide written feedback to the faculty members (a September 15 deadline established in the faculty handbook). The policy has been revised so that summary portfolios are due by the Friday after spring break. In this way, there is ample time for the review committee to meet before the end of the spring semester, the department chair has time in the summer to prepare the written evaluations, and, a person applying for tenure can receive one last round of written feedback just prior to submitting their official portfolio and application for tenure.

#### **Future**

#### **Actions/Improvements:**

Goal 2: Career Opportunities

**Description:** Various career opportunities (i.e., job openings, interships, etc.),

often come to us via mail, phone, and email. Our goal is to devise a

system whereby we can disseminate this information more

effectively to make sure our current students and alumni are aware of these opportunities, as well as to maximize the potential for local companies to be able to meet their needs without having to search

outside the region.

0.00 **Budget:** 

**University Goals** 

**Supported:** 

2,5

**Strategic Goals Supported:** 

**Responsibility:** Department Chair

**Participation: Program Coordinators** 

**Results:** Most, if not all co-ops and summer internships are now managed by

> UNA Career Services, and these opportunities are posted on Lion Jobs. Although some employers seeking candidates for full time jobs also use Career Services, many companies still prefer to contact the

department chair and/or program coordinators directly.

**Actions/Improvements:** It is not uncommon for us to be made aware of job opportunities via

email and phone. More often than not, the job opportunities come directly to the department chair and/or the industrial hygiene program coordinator. Although no official policy was adopted, we have implemented a system whereby all job opportunities are

communicated to the appropriate faculty via email. Job opportunities in industrial hygiene are forwarded to the industrial hygiene program

coordinator by the department chair and vice versa. Job

opportunities in chemistry are forwarded to all chemistry faculty. In this way, faculty have the option of approaching qualified students and/or simply forwarding these emails onto their classes via UNA Portal and/or Angel. In all cases, the emailed job opportunities are copied to the Administrative Assistant who in turn checks upcoming

graduate and recent graduate lists for qualified/interested candidates.

**Future** 

**Actions/Improvements:** 

Goal 3: Laboratory Withdrawal Policy

**Description:** To review our current laboratory withdrawal policy. Currently we

> require students to drop both lecture and laboratory simultaneously. This can sometimes seem punishing to a student, especially when it is very late in the semester. The policy will be reviewed and edited

as necessary to assure that it leads to the appropriate actions.

**Budget:** 0.00

**University Goals** 

**Supported:** 

1

**Strategic Goals** 

**Supported:** 

409,410

**Responsibility:** Department Chair

**Participation:** Departmental Faculty

**Results:** The departmental laboratory drop policy was reviewed and

discussed early in the fall semester. The advantages and

disadvantages of allowing students to drop a lab or lecture while

remaining in the other were considered.

**Actions/Improvements:** During the fall meeting, it was decided to allow students to drop the

> lecture portion of a course while remaining in the laboratory portion (which are required to be taken concurrently) given that they meet the established deadlines (and vice versa). The new policy was unanimously adopted. The new policy was implemented during the 2011 fall semester and continued during the 2012 spring semester. After this trial period, the policy was re-evaluated in May of this year. Some of the disadvantages of this policy change had surfaced and it was determined that the disadvantages actually outweighed the advantages. As a result, the policy was revised and we are once again requiring students to take both the lecture and laboratory concurrently meaning that if one is dropped, both have to be

dropped. It was agreed that the department chair could use discretion in evaluating special cases and has the option of allowing a student

to drop one without the other should that be deemed necessary.

**Future** 

**Actions/Improvements:** 

Goal 4: Quality Enhancement Plan

**Description:** To develop a quality enhancement plan for both majors offered in our department (chemistry and industrial hygiene).

**Budget:** 0.00

**University Goals** 

**Supported:** 

1

Strategic Goals Supported:

**Responsibility:** Department Chair

**Participation:** Program Coordintor, Departmental QEP Representative

**Results:** Quality enhancement plans were developed for both majors in the

department (chemistry and industrial hygiene).

**Actions/Improvements:** Research literacy skills expected at three levels (Levels 1, 2, and 3)

were defined for each major. In addition, for each major, courses corresponding to these levels of research literacy were identified so that the outcomes could be assessed in upcoming years. Where possible, the outcomes for the QEP were linked to outcomes being

assessed for ACS approval and/or ABET certification.

**Future** 

**Actions/Improvements:** 

Goal 5: Recruitment

**Description:** To enhance our recruitment efforts so that we target minority

students, junior high, high school, and current UNA students.

**Budget:** 0.00

**University Goals** 

**Supported:** 

3,5

Strategic Goals Supported:

**Responsibility:** Department Chair

**Participation:** Program Coordinators

**Results:** We continued targeting minority students through presentations to

the students in the Presidential Mentors Academy (PMA) Program at UNA. In addition, we changed the student information section on the exams used in our annual high school exam competition to include race and gender so that promising students could be contacted. General recruitment activities included participation in

the Shoals High School Career Fair and UNA Preview Day

#### **Actions/Improvements:**

In thinking about additional ways to recruit, we often say that we get most of our majors from students already on campus. We have decided to make efforts to contact undecided students to let them know of our majors. The initial effort has been to send brochures to undecided majors attending SOAR and to invite them to visit our department.

Future

**Actions/Improvements:** 

# Long-Term Goals for Chemistry and Industrial Hygiene

## 2011-2012

**Title:** ACS Certification

1

**Description:** To maintain ACS certification of the professional chemistry major.

**Budget:** 0

University

**Goals:** 

**Accomplished:** The periodic review (once known as the five year review) was submitted to the

ACS in 2009. There was a delay of approximately one year in receiving feedback from the periodic review report. The delay was apparently due to some internal changes within the ACS, and not a reflection on our report. We finally received confirmation of our continued program approval last fall. There were no negative findings that needed to be addressed before the next

periodic review.

**Spent:** \$0.00

**Title:** ABET Accreditation

1

**Description:** Maintaining ABET accreditation for the industrial hygiene major.

**Budget:** 0

University

**Accomplished:** 

Goals:

We are approximately one year out from our next five year review. Dr.

Figueroa has been compiling assessment data and streamlining the process. The next academic year will be a critical year for data collection, as well as archiving copies of student work which must be displayed during the on-site review. We are in the process of preparing an alumni and employer survey.

**Spent:** \$0.00

# **Student Learning Outcomes for Chemistry**

# 2011-2012

Outcome 1: Gather, Process, and Interpret Data

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to gather,

process, and interpret data. Outcome directly measured in CH

322L/432L.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

3,4,5

Every year **Assessed How Often:** 

Assessed this Year? Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Written Laboratory Reports

**Indirect Assessments** 

**Results:** These outcomes are assessed using the graded laboratory reports

> from CH 321LW in the fall of 2011, and CH 322LW in the spring of 2012. The average grade on laboratory reports handed in by students in CH 321LW in the fall of 2011 was 89%. The average grade on laboratory reports handed in by students in CH 322LW in

the spring of 2012 was 91%. Grading rubric is attached.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None

Other

Based on the laboratory report average, it appears that our students **Actions/Improvements:** are capable of collecting, processing, and interpreting data, and also

#### communicating the results.

Outcome 2: Spectroscopy

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate

the ability to understand and interpret spectroscopic data. Outcome directly measured in CH 312 and CH 322L/432L.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

3,4,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

**Indirect Assessments** 

**Results:** This outcome is assessed in two courses, CH 312 and CH 322.

When considering both courses as an aggregate, a total of 52% of

students demonstrated appropriate level of knowledge.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

Other

**Actions/Improvements:** 

Although the percentage was slightly higher than in the previous year's assessment, it appears there is a need to focus more on spectral interpretation as opposed to actual instrument function.

**Outcome 3:** Solutions

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate

knowledge of solution chemistry. Outcome directly measured in

CH 321.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

4,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

Participation: Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

**Indirect Assessments** 

**Results:** A total of 65% of students demonstrated appropriate level of

knowledge.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

No

Other

**Actions/Improvements:** 

The percentage of students demonstrating appropriate knowledge was significantly higher than the previous year (65% versus 39%

for the 2010-2011 year). No specific actions are planned at this

time.

Outcome 4: Critical Thinking

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to think

critically. Outcome directly measured in CH 341/CH 381.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

2

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

**Critical Thinking Exercises** 

**Indirect Assessments** 

**Results:** A total of 87% of students demonstrated appropriate level of

skill on critical thinking exercises.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

**Other** We will continue to assess student's abilities to think critically.

**Actions/Improvements:** No additional actions are planned at this time.

Outcome 5: Design and Perform an Experiment

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to design and

perform an experiment. Outcome directly measured in CH

341L/CH 381L.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

3,4,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

#### Laboratory Final Exam

#### **Indirect Assessments**

**Results:** A total of 75% of students demonstrated an appropriate level of

skill.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

Other Experimental design continues to be a skill that we wish to

**Actions/Improvements:** emphasize. We will continue to train and assess in this area. No

other specific actions are planned at this time.

**Outcome 6:** Stoichiometry

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate

the use of stoichiometry. Outcome directly measured in CH 111

and CH 321.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

4,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

**Results:** This knowledge based outcome is assessed in two different

courses, CH 111 and CH 321. When considering the weighted average of the results from the two courses, approximately 70% of students evaluated demonstrated an appropriate level of knowledge

of stoichiometry.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

Other Instructor plans to use more in class and homework exercises

**Actions/Improvements:** which focus on these fundamental principles.

Outcome 7: Thermodynamics

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to quantitatively

employ chemical thermodynamics. Outcome directly measured in

CH 341 and CH 382.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

4,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

**Indirect Assessments** 

**Results:** This knowledge based outcome is assessed in physical chemistry,

but it two classes that are taught at a different level (i.e., CH 341 and CH 382). In CH 341, a total of 47% of students demonstrated sufficient knowledge of kinetics, but in CH 382, the percentage was 67%. The sample size in CH 382 for the 2011-2012 academic year was small (two students) and only three questions on the comprehensive final exam were used for assessment. This sample size is not unusual in this upper level course. When weighting the average results from CH 341 and CH 382, the average performance was 49%.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

Other

Actions/Improvements:

None at this time.

**Outcome 8:** Kinetics

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to apply concepts

of chemical kinetics. Outcome directly measured in CH 341 and

CH 382.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

4,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

**Results:** 

This knowledge based outcome is assessed in physical chemistry, but it two classes that are taught at a different level (i.e., CH 341 and CH 382). In CH 341, a total of 39% of students demonstrated sufficient knowledge of kinetics, but in CH 382, the percentage was 83%. The sample size in CH 382 for the 2011-2012 academic year was small (two students) and only three questions on the comprehensive final exam were used for assessment. This sample size is not unusual in this upper level course. When weighting the average results from CH 341 and CH 382, the average performance

was 44%.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

Other

Actions/Improvements:

None at this time.

**Outcome 9:** Knowledge of Bonding Theory

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate

knowledge of bonding theory. Outcome directly measured in CH

111 and CH 312.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

4.5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

Subject Specific ACS Final Exam

**Results:** This outcome is assessed in two separate courses. When

considering the weighted average of the results from each class, a

total of 42% of students demonstrated appropriate level of

knowledge for this outcome.

Curriculum

**Actions/Improvements:** 

None.

Other

**Actions/Improvements:** 

Course specific plans: CH 111 - As this material comes from the last chapter we typically cover in CH 111, I will work towards leaving more time at the end of the semester to more adequately cover this material. CH 312 - Take more time going through the introductory material including hybridization. Proving

introductory material, including hybridization. Review

hybridization when discussing deprotonation of an alpha-proton

during the second semester

**Outcome 10:** Communicate Results and Information

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to communicate

results and information. Outcome directly measured in CH 321 and

CH 382.

**Budget:** \$0

**Core Competencies** 

**Supported:** 

1,5

**Assessed How Often:** Every year

**Assessed this Year?** Yes

**Responsibility:** Course Instructors

**Participation:** Department Chair

**Direct Assessments** 

Written Laboratory Reports

**Indirect Assessments** 

**Results:** These outcomes are assessed using the graded laboratory reports

from CH 321LW in the fall of 2011, and CH 322LW in the spring of 2012. The average grade on laboratory reports handed in by students in CH 321LW in the fall of 2011 was 89%. The average grade on laboratory reports handed in by students in CH 322LW in the spring of 2012 was 91%. Grading rubric is attached.

**Curriculum Actions/Improvements:** 

None

Other Actions/Improvements:

Based on the laboratory report average, it appears that our students are capable of collecting, processing, and interpreting data, and also communicating the results.

# **Student Learning Outcomes for Chemistry**

# 2011-2012

Outcome 1:	Knowledge of Bonding Theory
<b>Description:</b>	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate knowledge of bonding theory. Outcome directly measured in CH 111 and CH 312.
<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	4,5
<b>Assessed How Often:</b>	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
Results:	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcome 2:	Kinetics
<b>Description:</b>	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to apply

concepts of chemical kinetics. Outcome directly measured in CH 341 and CH 382.

<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	4,5
<b>Assessed How Often:</b>	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
<b>Results:</b>	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcome 3:	Thermodynamics
Description:	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to quantitatively employ chemical thermodynamics. Outcome directly measured in CH 341 and CH 382.
<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	4,5
Assessed How Often:	

Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
Results:	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
Future Actions:	
Outcome 4:	Stoichiometry
<b>Description:</b>	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate the use of stoichiometry. Outcome directly measured in CH 111 and CH 321.
<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	4,5
Assessed How Often:	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	

Results:	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcome 5:	Design and Perform an Experiment
<b>Description:</b>	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to design and perform an experiment. Outcome directly measured in CH 341L/CH 381L.
<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	3,4,5
Assessed How Often:	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
Results:	

Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcome 6:	Critical Thinking
<b>Description:</b>	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to think critically. Outcome directly measured in CH 341/CH 381.
<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	2
Assessed How Often:	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
Results:	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcomo 7:	Solutions

Outcome 7: Solutions

**Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate

CH 321. **Budget:** \$0.00 **Core Competencies** 4,5 **Supported: Assessed How Often:** Assessed this Year? **Responsibility: Participation: Direct Assessments Indirect Assessments Results:** Curriculum **Actions/Improvements:** Other **Actions/Improvements: Future Actions: Outcome 8:** Spectroscopy **Description:** Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to demonstrate the ability to understand and interpret spectroscopic data. Outcome directly measured in CH 312 and CH 322L/432L. **Budget:** \$0.00 **Core Competencies** 3,4,5

**Supported:** 

**Assessed How Often:** 

knowledge of solution chemistry. Outcome directly measured in

Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
Results:	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcome 9:	Gather, Process, and Interpret Data
Description:	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to gather, process, and interpret data. Outcome directly measured in CH 322L/432L.
Budget:	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	3,4,5
Assessed How Often:	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	

Results:	
Curriculum Actions/Improvements:	
Other Actions/Improvements:	
<b>Future Actions:</b>	
Outcome 10:	Communicate Results and Information
<b>Description:</b>	Knowledge-based outcome: Students will be able to communicate results and information. Outcome directly measured in CH 321 and CH 382.
<b>Budget:</b>	\$0.00
Core Competencies Supported:	1,5
Assessed How Often:	
Assessed this Year?	
Responsibility:	
Participation:	
<b>Direct Assessments</b>	
Indirect Assessments	
Results:	
Curriculum	

**Actions/Improvements:** 

Other

**Actions/Improvements:** 

**Future Actions:**